1	Mark E. Ellis - 127159 William A. Lapcevic - 238893			
2 3	ELLIS LAW GROUP, LLP 740 University Avenue, Suite 100	FILED/ENDORSED		
3 4	Sacramento, CA 95825 Tel: (916) 283-8820 Fax: (916) 283-8821	NOV 1 5 2012		
5	Attorneys for Defendant ROBERT MCFARLAND	ByL. GUTIERREZ		
6				
7				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO			
10 11	THE NATIONAL GRANGE OF THE ORDER Case No.: 34-2012-00130439			
12	OF PATRONS OF HUSBANDRY, a Washington, D.C., nonprofit corporation,	DEFENDANT ROBERT MCFARLAND'S		
13	Plaintiff,	ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT		
14	v.			
15	THE CALIFORNIA STATE GRANGE, a California nonprofit corporation, and ROBERT			
16 17	MCFARLAND, JOHN LUVAAS, GERALD CHERNOFF, and DAMINA PARR,			
18	Defendants.			
19	Defendant Robert McFarland, hereby answers the Complaint for Declaratory Judgment and			
20	Injunction as follows:			
21	GENERA	<u>L DENIAL</u>		
22	Defendant Robert McFarland generally den	ies each and every allegation set forth in complaint		
23	pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure sect	tion 431.30(d), and defendant further generally		
24	denies that plaintiff has sustained any injury, dama	ge, or loss by reason of any act or omission on part		
25	of defendants. In addition, without admitting any a	llegations contained in the complaint, defendants		
26	assert the following affirmative defenses based on	information and belief:		
27	///			
28	///			
	-	1 -		
	DEFENDANT ROBERT MCFARLAND'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT			
	· F			

	EIDST AFEIDMATINE DÉFENSE		
1	<u>FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>		
2	The complaint, and each cause of action, fails to state a claim upon which relief can be grante		
3	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
4	The complaint, in whole or in part, is barred by the applicable statute of limitations.		
5	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
6	The complaint, and each cause of action, must fail against defendant, because defendant was		
7	fulfilling his duty as provided by law.		
8	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
9	To the extent the complaint asks the court to rewrite various statutes, this court has no		
10	jurisdiction.		
11	<u>FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>		
12	The complaint, and each cause of action, must fail because plaintiff cannot establish the		
13	requisite elements and circumstances necessary to succeed on its claim.		
14	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
15	The complaint, and each cause of action, is barred because plaintiffs have not suffered		
16	irreparable injury and are unlikely to succeed on the merits.		
17	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
18	The complaint, and each cause of action is barred by the doctrines of estoppel, laches, and/or		
19	waiver.		
20	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
21	No relief may be obtained under the complaint by reason of the plaintiff's failure to do equity		
22	in the matters alleged in the complaint and cross-complaint filed within.		
23	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
24	No relief may be obtained under the complaint based on the doctrine of unclean hands.		
25	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
26	No relief may be obtained under the complaint based on the doctrine of waiver.		
27	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
28	Plaintiff is barred by laches in proceeding in this action against defendant.		
	- 2 -		
	DEFENDANT ROBERT MCFARLAND'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT		

1	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
2	By conduct, representations and omissions as more fully alleged in the cross-claim filed		
3	herewith and incorporated herein, plaintiff is equitably estopped to assert, any claim for relief against		
4	this defendant respecting the matters which are the subject of the complaint.		
5	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
6	Plaintiff is not entitled to attorneys' fees.		
7	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
8	WHEREFORE, defendants pray as follows:		
9	a. That the court enter judgment in favor of defendant;		
10	b. That plaintiff take nothing by its complaint;		
11	c. That the court award defendant his costs and attorneys' fees incurred in connection with		
12	this action; and		
13	d. For such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper.		
14	Dated: November 15, 2012 ELLIS LAW GROUP, LLP		
15			
16	By William A. Lapcevic		
17	Attorney for Defendant ROBERT MCFARLAND		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	- 3 -		
	DEFENDANT ROBERT MCFARLAND'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT		

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE				
2	I, Maria Gutierrez, declare:				
3	I am a citizen of the United States, am over the age of eighteen years, and am not a party to or				
4	interested in the within entitled cause. My business address is 740 University Avenue, Suite 100,				
5	Sacramento, CA 95825.				
6	On November 15, 2012, I served the following document(s) on the parties in the within action:				
7	DEFENDANT ROBERT MCFARLAND'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT				
8 9	XBY MAIL: I am familiar with the business practice for collection and processing of mail. The above-described document(s) will be enclosed in a sealed envelope, with first class postage thereon fully prepaid, and deposited with the United States Postal Service at Sacramento, CA on this date, addressed as follows:				
10	BY HAND : The above-described document(s) will be placed in a sealed envelope which will be hand-delivered on this same date by				
11 12	follows: VIA OVERNIGHT SERVICE: The above-described document(s) will be delivered by overnight service, to the following:				
12 13 14 15	Martin JensenAttorneys forThomas RiordanPLAINTIFF THE NATIONAL GRANGE OFPorter ScottTHE ORDER OF PATRONS OF HUSBANDRY350 University AvenueSuite 200Sacramento, CA 95825Sacramento, CA 95825				
16 17 18 19 20	Robert SwansonAttorneys forDaniel StouderDEFENDANT THE CALIFORNIA STATEBOUTIN JONES, INC.GRANGE555 Capitol MallSuite 1500Sacramento, CA 95814Sacramento, CA 95814				
21 22 23 24 25	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is a true and correct statement and that this Certificate was executed on November 15, 2012. By: $Maria Gutierrez$				
26 27 28					
	- 4 -				
	DEFENDANT ROBERT MCFARLAND'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT				